arXiv:1611.02998v1 [math.DG] 9 Nov 2016

On the L_r-operators penalized by $(r+1)$-mean curvature

L. I. S. SOUZA*

November 10, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the non-positivity of the second eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator $-\mathrm{div}\big(P_r\nabla\cdot\big)-W_r^2$ on a closed hypersurface Σ^n of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where W_r is a power of the $(r+1)$-th mean curvature of Σ^n. In the case that this eigenvalue is null we have a characterization of the sphere. This generalizes a result of Evans and Loss proved for the Laplace-Beltrame operator penalized by the square of the mean curvature.

1 Introduction

In 1997, Evans and Loss [2] obtained the following rigidity result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω a smooth compact oriented hypersurface of dimension d immersed in R^{d+1}; in particular self-intersections are allowed. The metric on that surface is the standard Euclidean metric inherited from R^{d+1}. Then the second eigenvalue λ_2 of the operator*

$$H=-\Delta-\frac{1}{d}h^2$$

is strictly negative unless Ω is a sphere, in which case λ_2 equals zero.

In particular, when $d=2$ the previous result gives a proof for a conjecture of Alikakos and Fusco about hyper surfaces embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (cf. [2]).

The goal of this paper is to extend this result for a more general class of elliptic geometric operators. In order to state our main result, we need to introduce a few definitions and notation. Let $\phi\colon M^n\to\overline{M}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion, and denote by $\mathbf{A}$ the second fundamental form

*This work was partially supported by UFC

associated to ϕ. It is known that $\mathbf{A}$ has n-geometric invariants. They are given by the elementary symmetric functions S_r of the principal curvatures $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n$ as follows:

$$S_r := \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_r} \kappa_{i_1} \ldots \kappa_{i_r} \quad (1 \leq r \leq n).$$

The r-curvature H_r of ϕ is then defined by

$$H_r := \frac{S_r}{\binom{n}{r}}.$$

Notice that H_1 corresponds to the mean curvature and H_n the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of ϕ. The Newton's transformations of ϕ are the operators P_r defined inductively by

$$P_0 = I,$$

$$P_r = S_r I - \mathbf{A} P_{r-1}.$$

The so-called L_r-operators are defined by $L_r := \operatorname{div}\big(P_r \nabla \cdot\big)$. It is known that if every H_r is positive, then L_r is elliptic. Let $c_r = (n-r)\binom{n}{r}$ and define the potential $W_r = \big(c_r H_{r+1}^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}}\big)^{1/2}$. In what follows we consider the following class of divergence operators

$$\mathscr{L}_r := -L_r - W_r^2.$$

We are now able to state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Σ^n be a n-dimensional closed hypersurface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Assume that $H_{r+1} > 0$. Then*

$$\lambda_2(\mathscr{L}_r) \leq 0,$$

with equality occurring if and only if $\Sigma^n = \mathbb{S}^n$.

The proof is based upon the following principle:

Lemma 1.3 (Birman Schwinger's Principle)**.** *Let $L = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla.)$ be, where $A(x)$ is a matrix uniformly elliptic, $L : H^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$, where Ω is a bounded domain.*

Consider the self-adjoint operator $-L - W^2(x)$, where W^2 is relatively bounded with respect to $-L$ (i.e. $Dom(-L) \subset Dom(W^2)$ and exists constants $a, b \geq 0$, such that

$$\|W^2 u\|_2 \leq a\|u\|_2 + b\|-Lu\|_2, \ \text{ for all } u \in Dom(-L)).$$

A number $-\mu < 0$ is eigenvalue of $-L - W^2$, if only if 1 is eigenvalue of the bounded positive operator

$$K_\mu := W(-L+\mu)^{-1}W$$

This result can be obtained as a corollary of a more general principle demonstrated by Klaus in the paper [6].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

For the above proof, the following lemma will be used:

Lemma 2.1. *Let Σ^n be a n-dimensional closed hypersurface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and consider the operator $\mathscr{L}_r = -L_r - W_r^2$. Suppose there $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ satisfying:*

1) $\int_\Sigma f W_r d\Sigma = 0$;

2) $\langle R_0(W_r f), W_r f\rangle > \|f\|_2^2$.

Then the operator $\mathscr{L}_r$ has two negative eigenvalues

Proof. The proof is herein presented in three following steps:

Recalling that for $\mu > 0$ the resolvent operator $(-L_r + \mu)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in $L^2(\Sigma)$ and $(-L_r)^{-1}$, therefore, it is defined on the set of functions with zero mean.

Step 1: For $g \in L^2(\Sigma)$ with $\int_\Sigma g\, d\Sigma = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\mu\to 0} \|(-L_r)^{-1} g - (-L_r + \mu)^{-1} g\|_2 = 0$$

In fact, let

$$(-L_r + \mu)^{-1} g = \varphi, \tag{2.1}$$

so that,

$$-L_r\varphi + \mu\varphi = g.$$

Therefore $\int_\Sigma \varphi d\Sigma = 0$ because $\int_\Sigma L_r \varphi d\Sigma = 0$. The latter follows from divergence theorem. By (2.1), we get

$$-L_r\varphi + \mu\varphi = g. \tag{2.2}$$

Applying $(-L_r)^{-1}$ in equation (2.2), we obtain that

$$\varphi + \mu(-L_r)^{-1}\varphi = (-L_r)^{-1} g$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-L_r)^{-1} g - (-L_r + \mu)^{-1} g\|_2 &= \|\mu(-L_r)^{-1} f\|_2 \\ &\leq \mu \|-{L_r}^{-1}\| \|f\|_2 \\ &= \mu \|-{L_r}^{-1}\| \|(-L_r + \mu)^{-1} g\|_2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Now in order to estimate the norm $\|(-L_r+\mu)^{-1}g\|_2$, we first multiply both sides of (2.2) for φ, then apply divergence theorem to get

$$\int_\Sigma \langle P_r\nabla\varphi,\nabla\varphi\rangle d\Sigma+\mu\int_\Sigma \varphi^2 d\Sigma=\int_\Sigma \varphi g d\Sigma. \tag{2.4}$$

Since φ has zero mean, we can use Rayleigh's principle to deduce

$$\lambda_1(-L_r)\int_\Sigma \varphi^2 d\Sigma\le\int_\Sigma \langle P_r\nabla\varphi,\nabla\varphi\rangle d\Sigma$$

On the other hand, Cauchy's inequality yields

$$\int_\Sigma \varphi g d\Sigma\le\left(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_\Sigma g^2 d\Sigma+\varepsilon\int_\Sigma \varphi^2 d\Sigma\right)$$

Thus for $\varepsilon=(\lambda_1(-L_r)+\mu)/2$ we have

$$\int_\Sigma \varphi^2 d\Sigma\le\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(-L_r)+\mu)^2}\int_\Sigma g^2 d\Sigma.$$

Consequently,

$$\|R_\mu g\|_2\le\frac{1}{(\lambda_1(-L_r)+\mu)}\|g\|_2.$$

From the estimate above, it follows that

$$\|R_\mu g-R_\gamma g\|_2\le\frac{|\mu-\gamma|\|g\|_2}{(\lambda_1(-L_r)+\mu)(\lambda_1(-L_r)+\gamma)}$$

Set $K_\mu:=W_r(-L_r+\mu)^{-1}W_r$, now for μ positive and close to zero, K_μ has an eigenvalue greater than 1.

Step 2: Exist $-\mu_1<0$,such that $\|K_{\mu_1}|_{[W_r]^\perp}\|>1$.

The operator $K_\mu|_{[W_r]^\perp}$ is compact, simetric and positive, soon $\|K_\mu|_{[W_r]^\perp}\|$ is an eigenvalue of $K_\mu|_{[W_r]^\perp}$.

Since,

$$\langle R_0(W_r f),W_r f\rangle>\|f\|_2^2,$$

and $K_\mu\to K_0$ in $\mathscr{B}([W_r]^\perp)$, when $\mu\to 0$ with $K_0=W_rR_0W_r$, so we have $\|K_0|_{[W_r]^\perp}\|>1$, thus, there exists $-\mu_1<0$ such as $\|K_{\mu_1}|_{[W_r]^\perp}\|>1$.

Step 3: The Step 2 implies Lemma.

As K_μ is positive, we have $\|K_\mu\|$ which is the largest eigenvalue of K_μ. Furthermore,

$$\|K_\mu\|\le\frac{1}{\lambda_1(-L_r|_{H^2(\Sigma)\cap[1]^\perp})+\mu}\|W_r\|_\infty^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, the eigenvalue $\|K_\mu\|$ goes to zero when μ as to infinity. Particulary, there is $-\mu_2 < 0$ such as $\|K_{\mu_2}\| < 1$.

Hence, we show that there exist μ_2 and μ_1 constants, such that

$$\|K_{\mu_2}\| < 1 < \|K_{\mu_1}\|,$$

and $\mu \mapsto \|K_\mu\|$ is continuous, we have by Intermediate Value Theorem, there is $-\mu_0$ such that $\|K_{\mu_0}\| = 1$.

The Birman Schwinger principle, $-\mu_0 < 0$ is eigenvalue of $\overline{\mathscr{L}_r} = \mathscr{L}_r|_{[1]^\perp}$, i.e., there is a nonzero function $f \in H^2(\Sigma) \cap [1]^\perp$ such that $\overline{\mathscr{L}_r} f = -\mu_0 f$. Naturally $-\mu_0$ is also eigenvalue of the operator $\mathscr{L}_r$.

Suppose by contradiction, that $-\mu_0$ is the only negative eigenvalue of $\mathscr{L}_r$.

In this case $-\mu_0$ would be the first eigenvalue with a first self-space given by $[f] = \{c\, f;\ c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\mathscr{L}_r$ restricted to the subspace $[f]^\perp$ would be a positive element. On the flip side we have $f \in [1]^\perp$.

Thus, the constant function $1 \in [f]^\perp$, implies $\langle \mathscr{L}_r 1, 1\rangle_2 \geq 0$. It is a contradiction.

Hence, the operator $\mathscr{L}_r$ has more than one negative eigenvalue, if there is $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ satisfying 1) and 2). □

Now let's proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Let $\phi : \Sigma^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an isometric immersion, by [3], we have the following equation satisfied:

$$-L_r \phi = c_r H_{r+1} N, \tag{2.6}$$

where N is the normal vector of the surface.

Thus each coordinate satisfies $-L_r \phi_i = c_r H_{r+1} N_i$, with $i \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$.

Denote by $(\phi_i)_\Sigma := \frac{1}{vol.\Sigma} \int_\Sigma \phi_i d\Sigma$, and $(\phi)_\Sigma := ((\phi_1)_\Sigma, ..., (\phi_{n+1})_\Sigma)$.

Choosing f_i so that

$$f_i W_r = c_r H_{r+1} N_i,$$

we have

$$f_i = (c_r H_{r+1}^{\frac{r}{r+1}})^{\frac{1}{2}} N_i.$$

Observing that

$$R_0(W_r f_i) = R_0(c_r H_{r+1} N_i) = R_0(-L_r(\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma)) = \phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma.$$

By multiplying both sides, equal to $\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma$ and using Divergence Theorem, we conclude that

$$\langle R_0(W_r f_i), W_r f_i\rangle_2 = \langle P_r \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_i\rangle_2 = \int_\Sigma c_r H_{r+1}(\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma) N_i d\Sigma.$$

Summing up both sides with i vanging from 1 to $n+1$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle R_0(W_r f_i), W_r f_i\rangle_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle P_r\nabla\phi_i, \nabla\phi_i\rangle_2 = \int_\Sigma c_r H_{r+1}\langle \phi - (\phi)_\Sigma, N\rangle d\Sigma.$$

In [3], we know from Minkowski's integral formula

$$\int_\Sigma H_r d\Sigma - \int_\Sigma H_{r+1}\langle \phi - (\phi)_\Sigma, N\rangle d\Sigma = 0.$$

Thus, replacing the previous expression, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle R_0(W_r f_i), W_r f_i\rangle_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle P_r\nabla\phi_i, \nabla\phi_i\rangle_2 = \int_\Sigma c_r H_r d\Sigma.$$

By [3] using the classical inequality $H_r^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq H_{r+1}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$, for $r \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\langle R_0(W_r f_i), W_r f_i\rangle_2 = \int_\Sigma c_r H_r d\Sigma \geq \int_\Sigma c_r H_{r+1}^{\frac{r}{r+1}} d\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\int_\Sigma c_r H_{r+1}^{\frac{r}{r+1}} N_i^2 d\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\|f_i\|_2^2.$$

Remark 2.2. If $r = 0$, we have written the sums above being identical and the only step that does not appear is the gap between the bends, however it is easy to see that the rest of the argument is following analogous to other cases.

Define $d_i = \langle R_0(W_r f_i), W_r f_i\rangle_2 - \|f_i\|_2^2$,thus $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d_i \geq 0$ and then two possibilities may occur:
1) There is $i \in \{1,...,n+1\}$ such that $d_i > 0$;
2) $d_i = 0$, for all $i \in \{1,...,n+1\}$.
If 1) occurs, we have f_i being the Lemma conditions and therefore

$$\lambda_2(\mathscr{L}_r) < 0.$$

If 2) occurs, we have all the d_i void. For this we use Lagrange multipliers.

Now consider the functionals $\Psi, \Phi : L^2(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\Psi(f) = \langle R_0(W_r f), W_r f\rangle - \|f\|_2^2, \qquad \Phi(f) = \langle W_r, f\rangle_2$$

and the set of constraints

$$S = \{f \in L^2(\Sigma);\ \Phi(f) = \langle W_r, f\rangle_2 = 0\}.$$

We have to study two possibilities:

1) $\inf\{\Psi(f);\ f \in S\} < 0$ or
2) $\inf\{\Psi(f);\ f \in S\} = 0$, since $0 \in S$ and $\Psi(0) = 0$.

In the first case, will be the function $f \in S$ such that $\Psi(f) < 0$.

So f is a critical function for Ψ on S and then the method of Lagrange multipliers have to exist $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\Psi'(f) = \Gamma\Phi'(f)$$

which resulted in the following Euler-Lagrange equation

$$W_r R_0(W_r f) - f = \Gamma W_r.$$

Multiplying both sides of the above equation for $f \in S$ and integrating, we have

$$0 = \Gamma\langle W_r, f\rangle = \langle R_0(W_r f), W_r f\rangle - \|f\|_2^2 < 0.$$

Thus the contradiction. This is the case 1) not occuring. In the second case, we have seen that each $f_i \in S$ and $\Psi(f_i) = \inf\{\Psi(f);\ f \in S\} = 0$. By the Method of Lagrange Multipliers, there exists $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Psi'(f_i) = \Gamma\Phi'(f_i)$. Hence, we obtain that each f_i satisfies the following Euler-Lagrange equation,

$$W_r R_0(W_r f_i) = f_i + \Gamma W_r,$$

therefore we conclude that

$$W_r(R_0(W_r f_i) - \Gamma) = f_i,$$
$$W_r(\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma - \Gamma) = f_i,$$

then

$$\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma - \Gamma = \frac{f_i}{W_r} = H_{r+1}^{\frac{1}{r+1}} N_i$$

Thus, have its version vector

$$\phi - (\phi)_\Sigma - \Gamma = H_{r+1}^{\frac{1}{r+1}} N.$$

Differentiating the above expression along any curve Σ, we conclude that the derivative of $H_{r+1}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$ is zero, so H_{r+1} is constant, then $\Sigma^n = \mathbb{S}^n$ by [1].

In fact in this case we have $\lambda_2(\mathscr{L}_r) = 0$, as we have

$$W_r(\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma - \Gamma) = f_i,$$

and multiplying both sides by the expression W_r, we obtain

$$W_r^2(\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma - \Gamma) = W_r f_i = -L_r(\phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma - \Gamma),$$

thus $\psi = \phi_i - (\phi_i)_\Sigma - \Gamma$ is the second eigenfunction of $\mathscr{L}_r = -L_r - W_r^2$, and $\mathscr{L}_r\psi = 0$.

Define the operator $T_r = -L_r - c_r\|\mathbf{A}\|^{r+2}$.

Corollary 2.3. *Under the same conditions of Theorem 2,* $\lambda_2(T_r) \leq 0$ *with equality if and only if* $\Sigma^n = \mathbb{S}^n$.

The proof of the corollary follows immediately from the Jensen's inequality and the min-max principle. This finishes the proof.

□

References

[1] Antonio Ros.: Compact Hypersurfaces with Constant Higher Order Mean Curvatures,Revista Matematica Iberoamericana January (1987)

[2] Harrel, Evans and Loss, Michael, Harrel: *On the Laplace Operator Penalized by Mean Curvature*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 195 643-650 (1998)

[3] Hilario Alencar,Manfredo do Carmo and Harold Rosemberg: On the First Eigenvalue of the Linearized Operator of the r-th Mean Curvature of a Hypersurface,Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 11: 387-395, (1993)

[4] Joao Lucas Marques Barbosa and Antonio Gervasio Colares: Stability of Hypersurfaces with Constant r-Mean Curvature, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 15: 277-297, (1997)

[5] Luis J. Alias and J. Miguel Malacarne.: On The First Eigenvalue of The Linearized Operator of The Higher Order Mean Curvature for Closed Hypersurfaces In Space Forms, Illinoi's Journal of Mathematics Volume 48, Number 1, Spring, Pages 219-240 S 0019-2082 (2004)

[6] Martin, Klaus: Some applications of the Birman-Schwinger principle, Helvetica Physica Acta, Vol. 55, 49-68, (1982)

L. I. S. SOUZA
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ
DEPARTMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
AV. HUMBERTO MONTE S/N, 60455-760, BL 914
E-MAIL ADDRESS: leoivo@alu.ufc.br